

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/587,879	07/27/2006	Tarek Ibrahim	17517RRUS03N	3516
7590 08/31/2009 Docket Clerk		9	EXAM	IINER
PO Box 8008			JAMA, ISAAK R	
Dallas, TX 753	380		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2617	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/31/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/587,879	IBRAHIM ET AL.					
	Examiner	Art Unit					
	ISAAK R. JAMA	2617					

	ISAAK R. JAMA	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AF	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.					
I. Material The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 T CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (the MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07 (f). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date to have been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of a studied and of the control of the studied of the control of the studied o	ension and the corresponding amount nortened statutory period for reply orig	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as				
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).							
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a c NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally rej	ected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).				
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 							
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		•					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or memended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons with it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 4.13(d)(1).							
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. \(\sum \) The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: \(\sum \) See Continuation Sheet.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:							
/Lester Kincaid/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2617	/ISAAK R JAMA/ Examiner, Art Unit 2617						

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combination of the prior at of record (U.S. Patent Number 7, 164,915 and U.S. Patent Application Publication 2006/0187873) teach the claimed invention (See the Final Office Action mailed on 05/05/09). And in regard to Applicants argument that U.S. Patent Application Publication 2006/0187873 to Friday being unavailable as a prior art against the instant Application, indeed it is, because the Provisional Application Number 05/05/67,41 that which the instant Application claims priority to has a different set of inventors namely. William R. Douglass, Tom Jencz, Lisa Schwartz, Frank Burke and Ionnis Apostolakos while the inventors of the current Application are Tarek Ibrahim, John Brancato and John Bongiorno. And the request to correct inventorship in the provisional application field on 8/5/09 is submitted after the prosecution scosed; in addition, the request to correct inventorship in the provisional application have not been approved, the provisional application is approved, the provisional application is not a priority document for the current application.